

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Woshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/255,352 02/23/1999		02/23/1999	SUMIYO TANAKA	15162/00200	8382
24367	7590	07/05/2002			
		ROWN & WOOI	EXAMINER		
717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400				ALI, MOHAMMAD	
DALLAS, T	DALLAS, TX 75201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2177	11
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2002	'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/255,352	TANAKA, SUMIYO			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mohammad Ali	2177			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the state of the st	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	ce later than three months after the mai 704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if timely			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	· ·	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,				
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 33.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-32.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_\_\_$ is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper <b>(N</b> o(s).	· / _			
10. Other:					
	()	JOHN BREENE			
		RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100			

1

1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Sato teaches, "specifying a controller for specifying a plurality of key images that are used derive search criteria,...."at col. 2 lines 13-54, col. 5 lines 31-55.